

**REMARKS**

The Office Action mailed January 4, 2006, has been reviewed and the Examiner's comments carefully considered. The allowance of claims 2 and 6 is noted with appreciation. In the Office Action dated January 4, 2006, claims 1, 3-5 and 7-10 were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting over claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,943,695. Claims 1-10 remain pending in the application.


As noted in the Office Action, an obviousness type double patenting rejection can be overcome by the filing of a terminal disclaimer. An appropriate terminal disclaimer together with the requisite fee was submitted concurrently herewith. Thus, withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

The present application is now believed to be in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration of the application as amended is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is felt that a telephone interview would expedite allowance of the application.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required regarding this application under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16-1.17, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. Should no proper payment be enclosed herewith, as by a check or credit card payment form being in the wrong amount, unsigned, post-dated, otherwise improper or informal or even entirely missing, the Commissioner is authorized to charge the unpaid amount to Deposit Account No. 19-0741. If any extensions of time are needed for timely acceptance of papers submitted herewith, Applicant hereby petitions for such extension under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 and authorizes payment of any such extensions fees to Deposit Account No. 19-0741.

Respectfully submitted,

Date 4/3/2006

By 

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP  
Customer Number: 22428  
Telephone: (202) 672-5490  
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

Howard N. Shipley  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 39,370